

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 11/28/2001

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/398,652	09/17/1999	WILLIAM A. SAMARAS	042390.P5120D	1359
:	7590 11/28/2001			
JOHN F TRAVIS BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 900251026			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200111100001	,		3729	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/398,652	SAMARAS ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rick K. Chang	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2001 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the substitution of the subst	cation. A proper re- ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION.	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	efee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			-
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) 🔀 will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel)∏ will be entered ow or appended.	and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19,21,23 and 26</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 22,24 and	<u>25</u> .		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exar	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		>\	
	200	EDVA	-
	RUDERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINE	R
S. Patent and Trademark Office	TECHNOLOG	CENTER 3700	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/398,652

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the limitations "coupling cache memory devices", "testing cache memory devices", "coupling . . . after testing . . . cache memory devices pass", "coupling a micorprocessor", etc. raise new issues that require further consideration and/or search. Further, they may raise the issue of new matter..